<section-header><text>

Integracja Sensoryczna układu przedsionkowego, jako jeden z elementów kompleksowej rehabilitacji dziecka z uszkodzonym słuchem

Sensory Integration of the Vestibular System as one of the elements of comprehensive rehabilitation of a child with impaired hearing

Fizjoterapeutyczna diagnostyka funkcjonalna w ginekologii Physiotherapeutic assessment in gynecology

ZAMÓW PRENUMERATĘ!

SUBSCRIBE!

www.fizjoterapiapolska.pl www.djstudio.shop.pl prenumerata@fizjoterapiapolska.pl



a Polska	grudnia 2023	Towarzystwo Rehabilitacii	
s Rehabilitacja Polska	Pabianice, 8–9 grudnia 2023	Organizatorzy: Polskie Towarzystwo Fizioteranii i Polskie Towarzystwo Rehabilitacii	
3 Kongres R		Polsk	kongres. p
(C)		ŀ	

-9



MATIO sp. z o.o.

to sprawdzony od 7 lat dystrybutor urządzeń do drenażu dróg oddechowych amerykańskiej firmy Hillrom





sprzęt medyczny do drenażu i nebulizacji dla pacjentów w warunkach szpitalnych – ze sprzętu w Polsce korzysta wiele oddziałów rehabilitacji i OIOM





NOWOŚĆ W OFERCIE

ΔSTΔR.





SKUTECZNA I BEZPIECZNA TERAPIA PRĄDEM O CZĘSTOTLIWOŚCI RADIOWEJ

Urządzenie przeznaczone do przeprowadzania profesjonalnych zabiegów prądem o częstotliwości radiowej (terapia TECAR).



Dowiedz się więcej terapiatecar.astar.pl 🍃

> Aparat umożliwia pracę z elektrodami rezystancyjnymi (o średnicy 25, 40, 55 lub 70 mm), pojemnościowymi (o średnicy 25, 40, 55 lub 70 mm) oraz z elektrodą typu IASTM do terapii tkanek miękkich

Tecaris generuje sinusoidalny prąd zmienny o częstotliwościach 300, 500, 750 lub 1000 kHz, dostarczanego do tkanek pacjenta za pomocą uniwersalnego aplikatora kątowego lub prostego.



Prąd o częstotliwości radiowej wywołuje efekty w głębszych warstwach tkanek, czyli kościach, ścięgnach lub więzadłach. Umożliwia to leczenie zwłóknień i zwyrodnień

tkanek w przewlekłych stanach chorobowych.



Terapia wpływa przede wszystkim na tkanki powierzchowne, czyli mięśnie (rozluźnienie) i układ limfatyczny, przyspieszając regenerację komórek.

> ul. Świt 33 43-382 Bielsko-Biała

t +48 33 829 24 40 astarmed@astar.eu

www.astar.pl 🔈



wsparcie merytoryczne www.fizjotechnologia.com

MEDICAL INNOVATION

Unikalna technologia Simeox

Łatwy w użyciu, prosty w obsłudze

Zalety Simeox



ΝΟΙΤΑΛΟΝΝΙ

MEDICAL

Z

😶 Nie wymaga wysiłku

ဂျ

PhysioAssist

PhysioAssist



Blended learning versus online education: impact to elementary students' engagement in physical activity and Body Mass Index

Nauczanie mieszane a edukacja online: wpływ na zaangażowanie uczniów szkoły podstawowej w aktywność fizyczna i wskaźnik masy ciała

Mochamad Ridwan^{1(A,B,D,E)}, Wawan S Suherman^{1(A,B,D,E)}, Haryanto^{1(A,B,D,E)}, Michael Achas^{2(A,B,D,E)}, Honelyn Diaz^{3(A,B,D,E)}, Dominador Lera^{4(A,B,D,E)}, Bryan Bialen^{5(A,B,D,E)}, Joeven Abalayan^{6(A,D,E)}, Elvie Celestial^{7(A,D,E)}, Joseph Lobo^{8(A,B,D,E)}, Muhamad Guntur Gaos Sungkawa^{9(A,D,E)}, Edi Setiawan^{9(A,D)}

- ¹Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia ²Northern Iloilo State University, Iloilo, Philippines ³Mianay National High School, Department of Education, Philippines ⁴University of Makati, Makati, Philippines ⁵Panitan National High School, Department of Education, Philippines
- ⁶Northern Iloilo State University-Lemery Campus, Iloilo, Philippines ⁷Northern Iloilo State University-Lemery Campus, Iloilo, Philippines
- ⁸Bulacan State University, Bulacan, Philippines ⁹Universitas Suryakancana, Indonesia

Abstract

Examining the impacts of blended and online learning settings on children's fitness and body mass index in a sample of public elementary school pupils in the Philippines is the main objective of this study. A 16-week pre-test and post-test control group in a quasiexperimental approach. The experimental group received blended education, whereas the control group exclusively took classes online. The experimental and control groups consisted of 2 classes from an elementary school in Angeles and Mabalacat City. Anthropometrical characteristics were compared between groups using ANOVA. Pre-test and post-treatment body mass index percentiles and PA levels were compared using a paired t-test. First, there were no significant variance in the individuals' anthropometrical characteristics between the two groups before intervention. Additionally, the blended learning group outperformed the online learning group on the post-test in terms of physical activity. After intervention, the mean BMI of the blended learning group decreased, but online students showed no improvement. Last but not least, when compared to the online learning group, the BMI percentile of the students in the blended learning group is within the usual range. The benefit of blended learning on the pupils' physical composition was highlighted. Pupils' levels of physical activity and body composition have improved as an outcome of the adjustment from traditional to online and blended learning settings. For the benefit of the students, it is advisable to make the most of a blended learning strategy and, if at all possible, return to the full face-to-face method.

Keywords

blended learning, body mass index, online learning, physical activity, physical education

Streszczenie

Głównym celem tego badania jest zbadanie wpływu nauczania mieszanego (blended) oraz online na kondycję fizyczną i wskaźnik masy ciała dzieci z próby uczniów publicznych szkół podstawowych na Filipinach. Wykorzystano 16-tygodniowy test wstępny i końcowy w grupie kontrolnej w podejściu quasi-eksperymentalnym. Grupa eksperymentalna korzystała z nauczania mieszanego (blended), podczas gdy grupa kontrolna uczestniczyła wyłącznie w zajęciach online. Grupy eksperymentalna i kontrolna składały się z 2 klas ze szkoły podstawowej w Angeles i Mabalacat City. Cechy antropometryczne były porównywane między grupami przy użyciu ANOVA. Wskaźniki procentowe masy ciała oraz poziomy aktywności fizycznej (PA) przed testem i po interwencji były porównywane przy użyciu sparowanego testu t. Po pierwsze, przed interwencją nie stwierdzono istotnych różnic w cechach antropometrycznych uczestników między obiema grupami. Dodatkowo grupa uczestnicząca w nauczaniu mieszane (blended) osiągnęła lepsze wyniki niż grupa online pod względem aktywności fizycznej w teście końcowym. Po interwencji średni wskaźnik BMI w grupie uczestniczącej w nauczaniu mieszane (blended) spadł, natomiast uczniowie uczący się online nie wykazali poprawy. Co więcej, w porównaniu z grupą online, wskaźnik BMI uczniów uczestniczących w nauczaniu mieszanym (blended) mieścił się w typowym zakresie. Podkreślono korzyści z nauczania mieszanego (blended) dla składu ciała uczniów. Poziomy aktywności fizycznej i skład ciała uczniów poprawiły się w wyniku przejścia z tradycyjnego na nauczanie online i mieszane. Dla dobra uczniów zaleca się maksymalne wykorzystanie strategii nauczania mieszanego (blended) i, jeśli to możliwe, powrót do pełnego nauczania stacjonarnego.

Słowa kluczowe

nauczanie mieszane, wskaźnik masy ciała, nauczanie online, aktywność fizyczna, wychowanie fizyczne



Introduction

In contemporary times, there has been a notable observation of a widespread phenomenon known as global epidemic of apathy in physical exercise [1]. Children at the elementary level face a heightened vulnerability to physical inactivity, as evidenced by studies conducted by scholars [2, 3]. Research findings have demonstrated that the global population has had substantial repercussions as a result of the pandemic [4, 5]. Consequently, some educational institutions have been compelled to close their physical facilities and transition to delivering courses solely through online platforms [6]. The quick adjustment to new environments has a negative impact on the physical well-being of students [7, 8]. Physical education teachers promote the cultivation of a healthy lifestyle among students beyond the confines of the classroom. A decrease in physical activity has been found to be linked to a decrease in many fitness indicators, such as strength, agility, flexibility, cardiorespiratory endurance, and body composition, as evidenced by several academic investigations [9-11].

Changes in educational policies can be impacted by changes in teaching methodologies, namely the transition from primarily in-person instruction to a progressively digital pedagogical approach [12, 13]. As a result of this paradigm shift in the educational system, students may encounter a range of adverse emotions, including but not limited to tension, even as they engage in their academic tasks within the confines of their own residences [14, 15]. Nevertheless, there exist certain fields of study that are not conducive to online instruction. One such example is physical education, a discipline that plays a crucial role in promoting students' physical well-being and safeguarding against sports-related injuries by focusing on the cultivation of proper and energetic movement patterns [16]. The value of online education is sometimes underestimated due to the prevailing perception that it lacks effectiveness. Consequently, it is imperative to devise strategies that effectively tackle the deficiencies within the educational system. These strategies may involve promoting increased engagement between students and their peers and instructors within the school environment, as well as implementing guiding principles for proponents of blended or hybrid education, which is deemed crucial for the present generation of learners.

The blended learning model is distinguished by the concurrent integration of face-to-face and online training [17, 18]. To optimize students' long-term productivity and efficiency, this educational approach prioritizes the provision of opportunities for self-directed and sustainable learning [19-21]. Recent study findings have revealed that students who only participated in online education exhibited notably diminished levels of engagement in extra-curricular pursuits and school when compared to their peers who were enrolled in a blended learning approach [22-24]. The potential social skills of the child would be negatively impacted as a result. Based on the research conducted, it was discovered that students who participated in both online and in-person aspects of a sports course demonstrated significantly elevated levels of moderate to intense physical activity in comparison to individuals who pursued a mixed format [25, 26]. These data suggest that the face-to-face component of the course offers more benefits in

terms of learning outcomes in comparison to the online component. Upon categorizing the participants according to gender, it becomes apparent that men shown a greater frequency of engagement in both programs. Additionally, it was noted that students who were part of the blended group exhibited greater levels of motivation in comparison to their peers in the conventional face-to-face setting, particularly with regards to their intrinsic motivation [27, 28].

As societal dynamics and various influencing elements undergo transformation, it becomes imperative for our educational methodologies to adapt accordingly. Educators must to effectively utilize technology in order to facilitate students' participation in meaningful and unhindered collaborative activities pertaining to their educational pursuits. Until recently, there has been a lack of exploration into the effects of online and blended education on the health and fitness levels of students, particularly in the context of the Philippines. Prior research has mostly concentrated on the examination of student perceptions regarding the use of a blended instructional strategy [29]. Additionally, investigations have been conducted to assess the effectiveness of this approach [30, 31], as well as to explore student engagement levels [26] and motivation [27, 28]. Given the urgency of this matter, it is imperative that this investigation be expeditiously undertaken. The main objective of this study is to conduct a comparative analysis of blended and online learning settings in order to assess their impact on the physical fitness levels, body composition, and body mass index of students attending public elementary schools in the Philippines.

Materials and method

Participants

The present study employed a quasi-experimental approach, utilizing a control group design that incorporated both pre- and post-test assessments. The entire number of individuals was evenly divided into two groups, namely the experimental group and the control group, and subjected to distinct treatments simultaneously. The study spanned a total of 16 weeks, commencing from February-May 2022. The control group engaged in instruction that was exclusively conducted online, whereas the experimental group received a combination of online and in-person instruction. In this study, measurements were taken for age, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), and exercise level. Certain characteristics were assessed both prior to and during exposure to the training protocol. The recruitment process involved the selection of participants based on certain inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion requirements were that participants be enrolled in the fifth grade of elementary school, aged between 10 and 12, in good physical condition, and of both genders. Additionally, participants were required to not be currently undergoing drug intervention or rehabilitation. The study consisted of a total of 128 student volunteers, who were divided into four distinct groups. The experimental group consisted of two classes from an elementary school in Angeles City, including a total of 32 children. Conversely, the control group was composed of two classes from an elementary school in Mabalacat City. The average age of the students was (M = 10.35 SD = 0.67) years, their average weight was (M = 42.05 SD = 8.97) kg, and their average height was (M = 142.84 SD = 7.94) cm.



Measurement and research procedures Anthropometry measurements

A questionnaire was handed out during physical education classes to gather information about students' ages. Meanwhile, the teacher-adviser personally measured everyone's height and weight using DETECTO 339. Standing erect on the device, the participants barefooted placed both feet on the scales to finish the data collection procedure.

Percentile Body Mass Index

The body mass index (BMI) of individuals was determined by dividing a person's weight in kilograms by the square of their height in meters. Moreover, the distribution of BMI values can be categorized based on percentage in the following manner:

Table 1. Demographic characteristics

No	Classification	Body Mass Index [kg/m²]	Percentile
1	W	< 18.4	< 5
2	NW	18.5 - 24.9	5 - 85
3	OW	25.0 - 39.9	85 - 95
4	0	> 40	> 95

Note: W – Weak, NW – Normal Weight, OW – Overweight, O – Obese

Physical Activities

Data on physical activity was gathered using the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Older Children (PAQ-C). The survey instrument included a total of nine questions about respondents' physical activity habits throughout the previous week. Students rated their own participation in a variety of physical and mental activities during PE, recess, lunch, after school, and on weekends. Each response was assigned a score between 1 and 5 on a scale of 1 to 5. Furthermore, the physical activity index score was calculated by taking the average of the response values, with a higher score indicating a greater amount of physical activity. After tabulating the results, the physical activity recommendations were ranked from lowest to highest: (1) very low to (5) very high.

Methodologies for blended and online learning

The experimental group was given a blended learning experience consisting of 50% traditional classroom time and 50% Google Meet-based online instruction. Meanwhile, Google Meet served as the backbone of an effective online education strategy. A total of 16 meetings, each lasting 90 minutes, were held using one of these two pedagogical approaches. Physical Fitness, Games and sports, and Rhythm and dance all made up the physical education used in these two pedagogical approaches.

Data analysis

Both the mean and the standard deviation were provided in this study's data. The age, weight, and height of the subjects were compared between the two groups using one-way analysis or one-way ANOVA. Furthermore, a paired t-test was used to compare pre- and post-treatment BMI percentiles and levels of physical activity in both groups. A significance level of p < 0.05 was used in the statistical analyses performed in SPSS version 27.0.

Ethical considerations

All participants were briefed on the experiment's goals, as well as any instruments or tests that would be used to evaluate their progress and output. The positive effects that this investigation will have on primary educational institutions and the scientific community as a whole have also been outlined.

Results

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of age, weight, or height in their baseline anthropometric measurements taken before treatment began as illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2. Anthropometric data before treatment

No	Variable	Total (N = 128)		Experiment blended Learning (N = 64)		Control Online Learning (N = 64)		
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p-value
1	Age [years]	10.35	0.67	10.35	0.69	10.36	0.65	0.91
2 3	Weight [kg] Height [cm]	42.05 142.84	8.97 7.94	42.09 142.85	7.67 6.74	42.01 142.70	10.17 9.04	0.96 0.98



Table 3 provides a comprehensive summary of the data pertaining to the observed enhancements in physical activity levels and reductions in body mass index percentiles that were documented during the intervention period. The post-test results indicated that students belonging to the blended learning group reported significantly greater levels of physical activity compared to students in the online learning group (2.94 METs versus 2.56 METs, respectively). After the intervention, there was a significant decrease of 19.69 kg/m2 in the average body mass index (BMI) among students in the blended learning group. In contrast, the online learners did not demonstrate any discernible advancement throughout the specified period.

Table 3. Results before and after intervention

		Hybrid learning				Online learning			
		Pr	е	Po	st	Pr	е	Po	st
No	Variable	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1	BMI (kg/m ²)	20.61	3.32	19.69	2.47*	20.45	3.71	20.33	3.63
2	PA (METs)	2.65	0.80	2.94	0.71*	2.67	0.83	2.56	0.81

*Significant average difference p < 0.05,

PA= Physical Activity; MET = Metabolic Equivalents

Based on the data shown in Tables 4 and 5, it can be observed that the BMI percentile of students belonging to the blended learning group, falling within the normal range of 5-85 percentile, was recorded as 42. In comparison, the online learning

group exhibited a matching figure of 36. The blended learning group was emphasized due to its potential positive impact on students' body composition.

Table 4. BMI distribution in the blended learning group

No	Classification for children	(Post-test %) N
1	W < 5 percentile	(23.4%) 15
2	NW 5-85 percentiles	(65.6%)42
3	OW 85–95 percentiles	(7.8%) 5
4	O > 95	(3.2%) 2

Note: W-Weak, NW-Normal Weight, OW-Overweight, O-Obese

Table 5. BMI distribution in the online learning group

No	Classification for children	(Post-test %) N
1	W < 5 percentile	(31.3%) 20
2	NW 5-85 percentiles	(56.3%) 36
3	OW 85–95 percentiles	(10.9%) 7
4	O > 95	(1.5%) 1

Note: W - Weak, NW - Normal Weight, OW - Overweight, O - Obese

Discussion

This study revealed a phenomenon within the discipline, indicating that students who employed the blended learning approach had a greater level of physical activity in comparison to their counterparts who engaged in online learning. Consequently, the aforementioned outcome aligns with the conclusions established in a previous investigation conducted by Rocamora et al. [27]. While the blended group exhibited a higher BMI percentile in the normal range compared to the online group, the latter group achieved a greater overall weight loss. Multiple previous research have also provided support for this evidence [32–34]. The epidemic has necessitated a widespread need for individuals to modify their lives [35–37]. The priorities of policymakers were influenced by curriculum changes, curriculum unification, and the implementation of credit systems, which facilitated the transfer of earned credits between different academic programs [38, 39]. The sudden transition resulted in significant psychological and emotional strain for students who had previously been engaged in remote learning. As previously indicated, the abrupt change in circumstances resulted in heightened levels of both internal and external stress among students, leading to adverse effects on their homeschooling endeavors [14, 15].

In addition to being manageable even in the midst of a pandemic, a recent study found that online education fostered student-centered learning [40, 41]. There is no denying that in the past, when online learning was undeniable, many benefits were



acquired, such as remote learning, which was realized, pleasant, and easily available [42, 43], despite its shortcomings, which included inefficiency and the difficulties of maintaining academic integrity [44–46]. The lack of access to the internet was the main barrier to learning [47,48], while problems with comprehension and retention persisted [49]. As mentioned by previous scholars, understanding the material is essential for maintaining academic integrity [44–46], but this might be challenging for elementary school students. Teachers' integrity will suffer as a result of the simplified assessment process, and pupils' quality will follow suit [50]. Blended learning recommendations emerged from various studies, suggesting that online classes could be a viable alternative to conventional educational modalities [51–53]. As a result, blended education has the potential to be more efficient, fruitful, and sustainable.

Undergraduates in sports, like high schoolers, are expected to pay attention and learn during practical lectures, and they would be dissatisfied if those lectures were supplanted by online theoretical sessions [54, 55]. For elementary school kids specifically, active participation in physical education is a rarity. In order to make online education more efficient and productive, educators and policymakers must collaborate on new forms of research and media. Concurrently, the situation is still clouded by the COVID-19 virus storm. By referencing previous research papers cited in this paper, emergence of blended learning may be viable compared to a more conventional approach [51–53]. Blended learning is a synonym for hybrid education in this context. After the epidemic subsided and it switched to blended mode, adaptability was once again required. Blended learning, according to a few studies, can help with issues that were previously challenging to find answers to when using an online mode of instruction for sports, making its application that much simpler [24, 56, 57].

The primary objective of blended education is to facilitate the development of students into individuals who possess the ability to engage in continuous learning and enhance their competencies and passions [19–21]. Multiple investigations have indicated that full-time online learners exhibit considerably lower rates of school and extracurricular club participation compared to blended learners [22–24]. The potential consequences of this phenomenon on the future social behaviors of pupils are likely to be detrimental. Furthermore, it is worth noting that some educational institutions, particularly in the Philippines, have successfully adopted inclusive schooling practices. This approach has prompted sports education instructors to place greater emphasis on fostering social contact, promoting non-competitive play, and seeking professional or practitioner assistance in addressing motoric and psychological components [58, 59]. Hence, it is more justifiable to endorse the implementation of blended methodologies in the field of physical education. In light of the available data, a comparison was made between students who partook in full and blended sports lessons. Surprisingly, the findings indicated that the cohort of students who received instruction in a blended format exhibited lower levels of physical activity compared to their counterparts who received face-to-face instruction [25, 26].

In addition, men participated more actively than women did in both the traditional and blended forms of the intervention. Students in the blended group were more motivated than those in the full-face condition, especially in terms of their intrinsic drive [27]. Direct competition in sports could lead to pupils being bored with the activity if it were routinely practiced. It may be due to the lack of novelty in the methods by which students study sports in school. Therefore, it is important to employ blended learning patterns for physical education in order to foster a desire for sustained participation. On the contrary hand, educators need time to experiment with new classroom games.

Conclusion

Both pupils' levels of physical activity and their overall body composition have improved as a result of the shift from traditional classrooms to online and blended learning environments. Maximizing the use of the blended approach, and if at all feasible reverting back to the full face-to-face approach, is preferable, particularly in physical education learning, so that students may reap the greatest possible benefits.

Adres do korespondencji / Corresponding author

Mochamad Ridwan

E-mail: mochamadridwan.2020@student.uny.ac.id

Piśmiennictwo/ References

1. Tanucan JCM, Garcia MA, Bojos MT. Housework-based exercise versus conventional exercise on health-related fitness of adolescent learners. Pedagog Phys Cult Sport. 2022 Oct 28;26(6):364–73. https:// doi.org/10.15561/26649837.2022.0602

2. Chaput J philippe, Willumsen J, Bull F, Chou R, Ekelund U, Firth J, et al. 2020 WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour for children and adolescents aged 5–17 years: summary of the evidence. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2020 Dec 26;17(1):141. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-020-01037-z

3. Katzmarzyk PT, Denstel KD, Beals K, Carlson J, Crouter SE, Mckenzie TL, et al. Results from the United States 2018 Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth. J Phys Act Heal. 2018;15(S2):S422-4. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2018-0476

4. Haleem A, Javaid M, Vaishya R. Effects of COVID-19 pandemic in daily life. Curr Med Res Pract. 2020 Mar;10(2):78–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmrp.2020.03.011

5. Martin J, Lobo J, Jesus J De, Santos M, Baltazar S, Baltazar J, et al. Selected Physical Fitness Efficiency in the Improvement of Students' Body Mass Index in an Online Learning Environment. Int J Hum Mov Sport Sci. 2023 Jul;11(4):926–37. https://doi.org/10.13189/saj.2023.110429

6. Aristovnik A, Keržič D, Ravšelj D, Tomaževič N, Umek L. Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Life of Higher Education Students: A Global Perspective. Sustainability. 2020 Oct 13;12(20):8438. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su12208438

7. Ding Y, Ding S, Niu J. The impact of COVID-19 on college students' physical activity. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021 Sep 3;100(35):e27111. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000027111

8. Nguyen TT, Nguyen MH, Pham TTM, Le VTT, Nguyen TT, Luong TC, et al. Negative Impacts of COVID-19 Induced Lockdown on Changes in Eating Behavior, Physical Activity, and Mental Health as Modified by Digital Healthy Diet Literacy and eHealth Literacy. Front Nutr. 2021 Nov 12;8(November). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.774328

9. Armstrong N, Tomkinson G, Ekelund U. Aerobic fitness and its relationship to sport, exercise training and habitual physical activity during youth. Br J Sports Med. 2011 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Jan 1];45(11):849–58. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090200

10. Bermejo-Cantarero Á, Álvarez-Bueno C, Martinez-Vizcaino V, García-Hermoso A, Torres-Costoso AI, Sánchez-López M. Association between physical activity, sedentary behavior, and fitness with health related quality of life in healthy children and adolescents: A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Med. 2017;96(12):1–5. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000006407 11. Pinho CS, Caria ACI, Aras Júnior R, Pitanga FJG. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on levels of physical fitness. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2020;66(suppl 2):34–7. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.66.sz 34

12. Rapanta C, Botturi L, Goodyear P, Guàrdia L, Koole M. Balancing Technology, Pedagogy and the New Normal: Post-pandemic Challenges for Higher Education. Postdigital Sci Educ. 2021 Oct 9;3(3):715–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00249-1



13. Bashir A, Bashir S, Rana K, Lambert P, Vernallis A. Post-COVID-19 Adaptations; the Shifts Towards Online Learning, Hybrid Course Delivery and the Implications for Biosciences Courses in the Higher Education Setting. Front Educ. 2021 Aug 12;6. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.711619

14. Barrot JS, Llenares II, del Rosario LS. Students' online learning challenges during the pandemic and how they cope with them: The case of the Philippines. Educ Inf Technol. 2021 Nov 28;26(6):7321–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10589-x

15. Wilczewski M, Gorbaniuk O, Giuri P. The Psychological and Academic Effects of Studying From the Home and Host Country During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Front Psychol. 2021 Apr 9;12. https://doi.org/

10.3389/fpsyg.2021.644096 16. Jeong HC, So WY. Difficulties of Online Physical Education Classes in Middle and High School and an Efficient Operation Plan to Address Them. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Oct 5;17(19):7279. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197279

17. Raes A. Exploring Student and Teacher Experiences in Hybrid Learning Environments: Does Presence Matter? Postdigital Sci Educ. 2022 Jan 18;4(1):138–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00274-0 18. Gamage KAA, Gamage A, Dehideniya SCP. Online and Hybrid Teaching and Learning: Enhance Effective Student Engagement and Experience. Educ Sci. 2022 Sep 26;12(10):651. https://doi.org/10.3390/ educsci12100651

19. Li KC, Wong BTM, Kwan R, Chan HT, Wu MMF, Cheung SKS. Evaluation of Hybrid Learning and Teaching Practices: The Perspective of Academics. Sustainability. 2023 Apr 17;15(8):6780. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/su15086780

20. Paz T da SR, Rocha Junior VG da, Campos PC de O, Paz I, Caiado RGG, Rocha A de A, et al. Hybrid method to guide sustainable initiatives in higher education: a critical analysis of Brazilian municipalities. Int J Sustain High Educ. 2023 Jan 24;24(2):299–316. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSHE-07-2021-0281

21. Moro C, Mills KA, Phelps C, Birt J. The Triple-S framework: ensuring scalable, sustainable, and serviceable practices in educational technology. Int J Educ Technol High Educ. 2023 Feb 13;20(1):7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00378-y

22. Daum DN. Thinking about Hybrid or Online Learning in Physical Education? Start Here! J Phys Educ Recreat Danc. 2020 Jan 2;91(1):42-4. 10.1080/07303084.2020.1683387

23. Syah H, Irmansyah J, Hulfian L, Lubis MR. Hybrid Learning Space as an Alternative for Physical Education Learning Post Covid-19 Pandemic. Int J Hum Mov Sport Sci. 2022 Oct;10(5):1047-59. https:// doi.org/10.13189/saj.2022.100523

24. Wang C, Dev RDO, Soh KG, Nasiruddin NJM, Wang Y. Effects of Blended Learning in Physical Education among University Students: A Systematic Review. Educ Sci. 2022 Aug 4;12(8):530. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/educsci12080530

25. Melero-Cañas D, Morales-Baños V, Manzano-Sánchez D, Navarro-Ardoy D, Valero-Valenzuela A. Effects of an Educational Hybrid Physical Education Program on Physical Fitness, Body Composition and Sedentary and Physical Activity Times in Adolescents: The Seneb's Enigma. Front Psychol. 2021 Jan 12;11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.629335

26. Johnson AM, Knell G, Walker TJ, Kroshus E. Differences in American adolescent sport participation during the COVID-19 pandemic by learning mode: A national survey. Prev Med Reports. 2023 Apr;32:102151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2023.102151

27. Rocamora I, Casey A, González-Villora S, Arias-Palencia NM. A Comparison of Motivation and Physical Activity Levels Between a Sport Education Season and a Hybrid Sport Education and Cooperative Learning Season. J Teach Phys Educ. 2023 Apr 1;42(2):350–60. https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2021-0077

28. Pan YH, Huang CH, Hsu WT. A comparison of the learning effects between TGfU-SE and TGfU on learning motivation, sport enjoyment, responsibility, and game performance in physical education. Front Psychol. 2023 Jul 13;14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1165064

29. Osaili TM, Ismail LC, ElMehdi HM, Al-Nabulsi AA, Taybeh AO, Saleh ST, et al. Comparison of students' perceptions of online and hybrid learning modalities during the covid-19 pandemic: The case of the University of Sharjah. Amankwa E, editor. PLoS One. 2023 Mar 28;18(3):e0283513. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0283513

30. Han X. Evaluating blended learning effectiveness: an empirical study from undergraduates' perspectives using structural equation modeling. Front Psychol. 2023 May 18;14. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2023.1059282 31. Liu H, Zhu J, Duan Y, Nie Y, Deng Z, Hong X, et al. Development and students' evaluation of a blended online and offline pedagogy for physical education theory curriculum in China during the COVID-19

pandemic. Educ Technol Res Dev. 2022 Dec 27,70(6):2235–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10131-x 32. Adamakis M. Physical activity, sleep and weight management in the covid-19 era: A case report. J Phys Educ Sport. 2021;21(1):60–5. https://doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2021.01008

33. Hennessy M, Bleakley A, Ellithorpe ME, Maloney E, Jordan AB, Stevens R. Reducing Unhealthy Normative Behavior: The Case of Sport 2021, 2011, 2011, 2021, 2011, 202

https://doi.org/10.1177/10901981211055468 34. Mocanu GD, Murariu G, Iordan DA, Sandu I, Munteanu MOA. The Perception of the Online Teaching Process during the COVID-19 Pandemic for the Students of the Physical Education and Sports Domain. Appl Sci. 2021 Jun 16;11(12):5558. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11125558

35. van der Werf ET, Busch M, Jong MC, Hoenders HJR. Lifestyle changes during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey in the Netherlands. BMC Public Health. 2021 Dec 25;21(1):1226. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11264-z

36. Nascimento LC do, Sliva TC da, Tañer DPO do V, Oliveira VJ, Viegas SM da F. The pandemic changes daily life and ways of living: technosociality and user/families experiences. Rev Bras Enferm.

2023;76(suppl 1). https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2022-0177 37. Panarese P, Azzarita V. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Lifestyle: How Young people have Adapted Their Leisure and Routine during Lockdown in Italy. YOUNG. 2021 Sep 29;29(4 suppl):S35–

64. https://doi.org/10.1177/11033088211031389

38. Luik P, Lepp M. Local and External Stakeholders Affecting Educational Change during the Coronavirus Pandemic: A Study of Facebook Messages in Estonia. Educ Sci. 2021 Mar 10;11(3):113. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/educsci11030113

39. Sattar T, Ullah MI, Ahmad B. The Role of Stakeholders Participation, Goal Directness and Learning Context in Determining Student Academic Performance: Student Engagement as a Mediator. Front Psychol. 2022 Jul 19;13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.875174

40. Zhang X, Zhang B, Zhang F. Student-centered case-based teaching and online-offline case discussion in postgraduate courses of computer science. Int J Educ Technol High Educ. 2023 Jan 31;20(1):6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00374-2

41. Abdigapbarova U, Zhiyenbayeva N. Organization of Student-Centered learning within the Professional Training of a future teacher in a Digital Environment. Educ Inf Technol. 2023 Jan 4;28(1):647–61. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11159-5

42. Eishami W, Taha MH, Abuzaid M, Saravanan C, Al Kawas S, Abdalla ME. Satisfaction with online learning in the new normal: perspective of students and faculty at medical and health sciences colleges. Med Educ Online. 2021 Jan 1;26(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2021.1920090

43. Gherheş V, Stoian CE, Fărcaşiu MA, Stanici M. E-Learning vs. Face-To-Face Learning: Analyzing Students' Preferences and Behaviors. Sustainability. 2021 Apr 14;13(8):4381. https://doi.org/10.3390/

su13084381 44. Djokovic R, Janinovic J, Pekovic S, Vuckovic D, Blecic M. Relying on Technology for Countering Academic Dishonesty: The Impact of Online Tutorial on Students' Perception of Academic Misconduct. Sustainability. 2022 Feb 3;14(3):1756. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031756

45. Holden OL, Norris ME, Kuhlmeier VA. Academic Integrity in Online Assessment: A Research Review. Front Educ. 2021 Jul 14;6. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.639814 46. Kier CA, Ives C. Recommendations for a balanced approach to supporting academic integrity: perspectives from a survey of students, faculty, and tutors. Int J Educ Integr. 2022 Sep 12;18(1):22. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40979-022-00116-x

47. Yeh CY, Tsai CC. Massive Distance Education: Barriers and Challenges in Shifting to a Complete Online Learning Environment. Front Psychol. 2022 Jun 23;13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.928717 48. Rannaware A, Shaikh U, Gaidhane A, Choudhari SG, Zilate S. Challenges and Barriers for Accessing Online Education Amongst School Children in an Urban Slum Area of Pune, India. Cureus. 2022 Sep 21; https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.29419

49. Xavier M, Meneses J. Persistence and time challenges in an open online university: a case study of the experiences of first-year learners. Int J Educ Technol High Educ. 2022 Dec 4;19(1):31. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s41239-022-00338-6

50. Grunt E V., Belyaeva EA, Sabina L. Distance education during the pandemic: new challenges to Russian higher education. Perspect Sci Educ. 2020 Nov 1;47(5):45–58. https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2020.5.3 51. Tong DH, Uyen BP, Ngan LK. The effectiveness of blended learning on students' academic achievement, self-study skills and learning attitudes: A quasi-experiment study in teaching the conventions for coordinates in the plane. Heliyon. 2022 Dec;8(12):e12657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12657

52. Singh J, Steele K, Singh L. Combining the Best of Online and Face-to-Face Learning: Hybrid and Blended Learning Approach for COVID-19, Post Vaccine, & amp; Post-Pandemic World. J Educ Technol Syst. 2021 Dec 20;50(2):140–71. https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395211047865

53. Topping KJ, Douglas W, Robertson D, Ferguson N. Effectiveness of online and blended learning from schools: A systematic review. Rev Educ. 2022 Aug 10;10(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3353

54. Ivanov V, Tzankova J, Septiani M, Aulianita R, Sofica V, Hasan N, et al. Online Distance Education on Wordpress Web Based Platform As an Innovation in the Learning Process of Sports Students From Medical University - Sofia During the Pandemic of Covid-19. J Ilm Matrik [Internet]. 2020;7(1):251–60. Available from: http://conference.upgris.ac.id/index.php/sens/article/view/1304/683

55. Atwa H, Shehata MH, Al-Ansari A, Kumar A, Jaradat A, Ahmed J, et al. Online, Face-to-Face, or Blended Learning? Faculty and Medical Students' Perceptions During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Mixed-Method Study. Front Med. 2022 Feb 3;9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.791352

56. Killian CM, Kinder CJ, Woods AM. Online and Blended Instruction in K–12 Physical Education: A Scoping Review. Kinesiol Rev. 2019 May;8(2):110–29. https://doi.org/10.1123/kr.2019-0003 57. Taufik MS, Ridlo AF, Solahuddin S, Iskandar T, Taroreh BS. Application of YouTube-Based Virtual Blended Learning as a Learning Media for Fundamental Movement Skills in Elementary Schools during the Covid Pandemic 19. Ann Appl Sport Sci. 2022 Mar 1;10(1):0–0. https://doi.org/10.52547/aassjournal.1020

58. Tabuena AC. Perception of the students between the school's support in academics and sports towards the promotion and sustainability of sports activities. Int J Trend Sci Res Dev. 2020;4(3):630-4. https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3892477

59. Cagas JY, Mallari MFT, Torre BA, Kang MGDP, Palad YY, Guisihan RM, et al. Results from the Philippines' 2022 report card on physical activity for children and adolescents. J Exerc Sci Fit. 2022 Oct;20(4):382–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesf.2022.10.001